

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Carolyn Hernasy,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Civil Action No. 6:15-2587-MGL
)	
USPS Greenville SC Processing and)	
Distribution Center,)	
)	ORDER
Defendant.)	

Plaintiff, Carolyn Hernasy, (“Plaintiff”), proceeding *pro se*, brought this civil action seeking to recover unpaid wages. (ECF No. 1). The matter now comes before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by Magistrate Judge Jacquelyn D. Austin, to whom this case had previously been assigned. In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that Plaintiff’s complaint be dismissed for failure to prosecute pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and other cited authorities. (ECF No. 19). Objections to the Report were due by September 14, 2015. Plaintiff filed no objections to the Report.

This Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate

Judge's Report and Recommendation is **ACCEPTED**, (ECF No. 19), and Plaintiff's complaint is thereby **DISMISSED**. Additionally, in light of the foregoing, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, (ECF No. 11), is properly terminated as **MOOT**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Mary G. Lewis
United States District Judge

September 17, 2015
Columbia, South Carolina